



FINAL

---

MARCH 2020

# WASTEWATER TREATMENT RELOCATION STUDY

## Dillingham, Alaska



prepared for:



prepared by:



3940 Arctic Boulevard, Ste 300  
Anchorage, AK 99503

907.562.3252

&  
Bristol  
 ENGINEERING  
SERVICES COMPANY, LLC

111 W. 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Third Floor  
Anchorage, AK 99501

907.563.0013



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>SECTION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	vi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1 Purpose and Need for Project.....	1
1.2 Location .....	3
1.3 History .....	4
1.4 Climate.....	5
1.5 Topography and Geology .....	5
1.6 Demographics and Population Trends.....	6
1.7 Community Involvement and Key Stakeholder Outreach.....	6
1.7.1 Site Visit/Field Investigation .....	6
1.7.2 Coordination with Stakeholders .....	7
1.7.3 Presentation of Draft Study/Community Meeting.....	8
1.7.4 Presentation of Draft Final Study .....	8
2.0 EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEM OVERVIEW .....	9
2.1.1 Collection System.....	9
2.1.2 City of Dillingham Lagoon .....	11
2.1.3 Effluent Outfall .....	14
2.1.4 Planned Treatment Lagoon Improvements.....	16
2.1.5 Kanakanak Hospital Lagoon.....	16
3.0 REGULATORY CRITERIA.....	18
3.1 Minimum Construction Standards.....	18
3.2 Operator Certification Requirements .....	19
3.3 Wastewater Discharge.....	19
3.3.1 Surface/Subsurface Discharge.....	20
3.3.2 Surface Water Discharge (Fresh/Marine Water) .....	20
4.0 WASTEWATER TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES .....	24
4.1 Aerated Wastewater Treatment Lagoon.....	24

4.1.1	Defend Existing Lagoon in Place .....	27
4.1.2	New Aerated Wastewater Treatment Lagoon.....	29
4.2	Packaged Wastewater Treatment Plants.....	31
4.2.1	Membrane Bio-Reactor (MBR) .....	32
4.2.2	Moving Bed Bio-Film Reactor (MBBR) .....	36
4.3	Disinfection .....	38
4.3.1	Chlorination/Dechlorination .....	38
4.3.2	Ultraviolet Light.....	39
5.0	SITE ALTERNATIVES.....	41
5.1	Site Design Criteria .....	41
5.1.1	FAA/ADOT&PF.....	42
5.2	Site 1 – Defend Existing Treatment Lagoon in Place .....	42
5.2.1	Property Ownership and Land Status (Dillingham Master Plan) .....	43
5.2.2	Geotechnical Considerations.....	44
5.2.3	Erosion Protection Considerations .....	45
5.2.4	Environmental/Permitting Considerations .....	48
5.2.5	Utility/Infrastructure Considerations .....	51
5.3	Site 2 – City Shop Pad .....	51
5.3.1	Property Ownership and Land Status.....	52
5.3.2	Geotechnical Considerations.....	52
5.3.3	Environmental/Permitting Considerations .....	54
5.3.4	Utility/Infrastructure Considerations .....	56
5.4	Site 3 – Kanakanak Road .....	57
5.4.1	Property Ownership and Land Use .....	57
5.4.2	Geotechnical Considerations.....	58
5.4.3	Environmental/Permitting Considerations .....	59
5.4.4	Utility/Infrastructure Considerations .....	61
6.0	COST ESTIMATES .....	62
6.1	Site 1/Alt. 1 – Defend In-Place with Sheetpile Revetment.....	62
6.2	Site 1/Alt. 2 – Defend In-Place with Armor Rock Revetment .....	63
6.3	Site 2/Alt. 1 – City Shop with Wastewater Lagoon .....	63

6.4	Site 2/Alt. 2A – City Shop with MBR Packaged Treatment Plant .....	64
6.5	Site 2/Alt. 2B – City Shop with MBBR Packaged Treatment Plant .....	65
6.6	Site 3/Alt. 1 – Kanakanak Rd with Wastewater Lagoon.....	65
6.7	Site 3 /Alt. 2A – Kanakanak Rd with MBR Packaged Treatment Plant .....	66
6.8	Site 3/Alt. 2B– Kanakanak Rd with MBBR Packaged Treatment Plant .....	66
6.9	Cost Estimate Summary.....	67
7.0	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS .....	68
7.1	Treatment Alternatives.....	68
7.1.1	Wastewater Treatment Lagoon (Alternative 1) .....	68
7.1.2	MBR Packaged Wastewater Treatment Plant (Alternative 2) .....	68
7.1.3	MBBR Packaged Wastewater Treatment Plant (Alternative 3).....	69
7.2	Site Alternatives.....	69
7.2.1	Site 1 – Defend Existing Treatment Lagoon in Place.....	69
7.2.2	Site 2 – City Shop Pad.....	70
7.2.3	Site 3 – Kanakanak Road .....	71
7.3	Alternatives Analysis Matrix.....	73
7.4	Conclusions .....	73
8.0	REFERENCES .....	75

## **TABLES**

Table 1	City of Dillingham Population Estimate .....	6
Table 2	Effluent Exceedance Summary (April 2019 – March 2020) .....	12
Table 3	Dillingham Lagoon System and Wastewater Characteristics .....	14
Table 4	Kanakanak Hospital Lagoon System and Wastewater Characteristics.....	17
Table 5	Permit Authorization and General Permit Requirements .....	22
Table 6	Defend Existing Lagoon in Place Design Criteria .....	28
Table 7	New Aerated Wastewater Treatment Lagoon Design Criteria .....	30
Table 8	MBR Design Criteria .....	34
Table 9	MBBR Design Criteria.....	37
Table 10	Cost Estimate Summary.....	67
Table 11	Alternatives Analysis Matrix .....	73

## **EXHIBITS**

- Exhibit 1 Dillingham Shoreline Erosion Analysis
- Exhibit 2 Dillingham Location Map
- Exhibit 3 Dillingham Water and Sewer Map
- Exhibit 4 Mixing Zones and Permit Limits
- Exhibit 5 Site Alternatives Location Map
- Exhibit 6 Sheetpile Wall Section
- Exhibit 7 Rock Revetment Section

## **PHOTOGRAPHS**

- Photograph 1 – Pumper Truck Dumping at Existing Lagoon SE Corner Cell #1 (7/12/19)
- Photograph 2 – Effluent Line Protection North of Outfall (7/11/19)
- Photograph 3 – Site 1-Defend Existing Treatment Lagoon in Place (7/12/19)
- Photograph 4 – Site 2-City Shop Pad (7/12/19)
- Photograph 5 – Site 3-Kanakanak Hospital (7/11/19)

## **FIGURES**

- Figure 1 – Existing Conditions Site Plan
- Figure 2 – Defend in Place Treatment Process
- Figure 3 – New Lagoon Treatment Process
- Figure 4 – MBR Treatment Process
- Figure 5 – MBBR Treatment Process
- Figure 6 – Site 1-Defend in Place Site Plan
- Figure 7 – Site 2-City Shop Pad Site Plan
- Figure 8 – Site 3-Kanakanak Road Site Plan

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A – Geotechnical Report

Appendix B – Archaeological Report

Appendix C – Community Involvement/Trip Reports

Appendix D – Treatment Process Design Information and Calculations

Appendix E – Cost Estimates

Appendix F – Land Status Information

Appendix G – Erosion Protection Information

**ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

'	minutes
°	degrees
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
AAC	Alaska Administrative Code
ADEC	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
ADF&G	Alaska Department of Fish and Game
APDES	Alaska Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
APE	Area of Potential Effects
BBHAC	Bristol Bay Health Corporation
BOD <sub>5</sub>	Five-day Biological Oxygen Demand
Bristol	Bristol Engineering Services Company, LLC
City	City of Dillingham
CRW	CRW Engineering Group, LLC
DCCED	State of Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development
DI	ductile iron
DO	dissolved oxygen
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FC	Fecal Coliform
Golder	Golder Associates, Inc.
HDD	horizontal directional drilling
HMS	HMS, Inc.
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation
MBBR	moving bed bio-film reactor
MBR	membrane bio-reactor
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
pH	potential hydrogen
POTW	public owned treatment works
SOI	Secretary of Interior
Study	Wastewater Treatment Relocation Study
TRC	total residual chlorine

True North    True North Sustainable Development Solutions  
TSS              total suspended solids  
USACE          US Army Corps of Engineers  
UV                ultraviolet  
WHMP            Wildlife Hazard Management Plan



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The City of Dillingham operates a wastewater treatment system that serves the core community area. The treatment system consists of a two-cell, partially aerated wastewater lagoon located on the north east side of Dillingham, adjacent to the bluff at the confluence of the Nushagak and Wood Rivers, and Nushagak Bay.

The Nushagak estuary shoreline is highly erosive, with an average erosion rate of 11-12 feet per year and continued erosion along the Nushagak River places the lagoon system, effluent outfall, and associated site infrastructure at risk of failure.

A failure of the lagoon system could have serious, long-term consequences, putting the community's health at risk from untreated sewage, as well as the salmon fisheries that the Bristol Bay region relies on for subsistence and economic survivability.

This Study evaluated three treatment types (lagoon, MBR, and MBBR) and three potential sites within Dillingham (existing/defend in-place, City Shop, and Kanakanak Road) for a total of total of eight alternatives to meet the City's long-term wastewater treatment objectives:

- Site 1/Alt. 1 – Defend In-Place with Sheetpile Wall Revetment
- Site 1/Alt. 2 – Defend In-Place with Armor Rock Revetment
- Site 2/Alt. 1 – City Shop with New Wastewater Lagoon
- Site 2/Alt. 2A – City Shop with New MBR Packaged Treatment Plant
- Site 2/Alt. 2B – City Shop with New MBBR Packaged Treatment Plant
- Site 3/Alt. 1 – Kanakanak Road with New Wastewater Lagoon
- Site 3/Alt. 2A – Kanakanak Road with New MBR Packaged Treatment Plant
- Site 3/Alt. 2B – Kanakanak Road with New MBBR Packaged Treatment Plant

The following table summarizes life-cycle costs for each site and treatment alternative combination as presented in Section 6.

### **Cost Estimate Summary**

<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST</b>	<b>SALVAGE VALUE</b>	<b>O&amp;M COSTS</b>		<b>SHORT LIVED ASSETS</b>		<b>TOTAL LIFE-CYCLE COST</b>
			<b>ANNUAL</b>	<b>PRESENT WORTH</b>	<b>ANNUAL</b>	<b>PRESENT WORTH</b>	
<b>Site 1/Alt. 1</b>	\$ 27,902,017	\$ 20,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 9,007,132	\$ 4,225	\$ 80,116	\$ 36,969,265
<b>Site 1/Alt. 2</b>	\$ 33,440,552	\$ 20,000	\$ 495,000	\$ 9,386,379	\$ 4,225	\$ 80,116	\$ 42,887,047
<b>Site 2/Alt. 1</b>	\$ 52,342,263	\$ 80,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 7,110,893	\$ 4,225	\$ 80,116	\$ 59,453,273
<b>Site 2/Alt. 2A</b>	\$ 19,860,418	\$ 250,000	\$ 866,900	\$ 16,438,490	\$ 20,000	\$ 379,248	\$ 36,428,155
<b>Site 2/Alt. 2B</b>	\$ 24,548,648	\$ 200,000	\$ 600,575	\$ 11,388,333	\$ 4,800	\$ 91,019	\$ 35,828,000
<b>Site 3/Alt. 1</b>	\$ 29,583,440	\$ 80,000	\$ 399,000	\$ 7,565,991	\$ 4,225	\$ 80,116	\$ 37,149,547
<b>Site 3/Alt. 2A</b>	\$ 25,414,528	\$ 250,000	\$ 890,900	\$ 16,893,587	\$ 20,000	\$ 379,248	\$ 42,437,362
<b>Site 3/Alt. 2B</b>	\$ 29,868,847	\$ 200,000	\$ 624,575	\$ 11,843,430	\$ 4,800	\$ 91,019	\$ 41,603,297

The following Alternatives Analysis Matrix summarizes and qualifies the considerations discussed in Sections 4, 5, and 6. Each of the treatment and site alternative combinations were evaluated against a set of analysis criteria and ranked on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the most favorable alternative. In addition, the matrix includes weight factors for each of the analysis criteria which are based on Bristol and CRW experience in Dillingham and engineering judgement.

### **Alternatives Analysis Matrix**

<b>ALTERNATIVE</b>	<b>LIFE-CYCLE COSTS</b>	<b>OPERATIONAL COMPLEXITY</b>	<b>EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE</b>	<b>CULTURAL RESOURCES</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTABILITY</b>	<b>LAND USE</b>	<b>COMMUNITY PREFERENCE</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
<b>WEIGHT FACTOR</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Site 1/Alt. 1</b>	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	4.60
	1.20	1.00	0.50	0.15	0.50	0.50	0.75	
<b>Site 1/Alt. 2</b>	2	5	5	3	5	5	4	3.85
	0.60	1.00	0.50	0.15	0.50	0.50	0.60	
<b>Site 2/Alt. 1</b>	1	4	2	5	1	4	2	2.35
	0.30	0.80	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.40	0.30	
<b>Site 2/Alt. 2A</b>	5	1	2	5	3	4	1	3.00
	1.50	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.40	0.15	
<b>Site 2/Alt. 2B</b>	5	2	2	5	3	4	3	3.50
	1.50	0.40	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.40	0.45	
<b>Site 3/Alt. 1</b>	5	4	1	2	3	3	3	3.55
	1.50	0.80	0.10	0.10	0.30	0.30	0.45	
<b>Site 3/Alt. 2A</b>	3	1	1	2	3	3	1	2.05
	0.90	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.30	0.30	0.15	
<b>Site 3/Alt. 2B</b>	3	2	1	2	3	3	2	2.40
	0.90	0.40	0.10	0.10	0.30	0.30	0.30	